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ABSTRACT

Despite a study by [1] proposing a simple model of under five years pneumonia, doubt lingers regarding its reliability, sufficiency and validity. The research question is whether the model is valid for use or not? The objectives of this study were to: incorporate exit rate from under five-year age bracket in the model, use Kenya data to parameterize the model, taking into account the uncertainties and finally to predict the dynamics of pneumonia. The model was rescaled through nondimensionalization. Data was fitted using theory of general solutions of nonlinear Ordinary differential equations, numerical differentiation using Lagrange polynomials and least square approximation method. Uncertainties due to disparities and round off errors were simulated using Monte Carlo simulation. Predictions of dynamics of pneumonia were carried out using MATLAB inbuilt ode solvers. Excel software was used to predict dynamics of discrete ordinary differential equations. 

*Corresponding author: E-mail: cyrus_ngari@yahoo.com, ngaricyrus15@gmail.com;
equations and to fit data. The basic reproduction number \((R_0)\) and effective reproduction number \((R)\) were obtained as 61 and 7 respectively. Iteration of uncertainties on R was carried out 1000 times by Monte Carlo simulation. The maximum and minimum R were obtained as 90 and 55, respectively. Using MATLAB software and effective reproduction number, the ratio of infective class to the total population and the ratio of class under treatment to the total population will remain constant at 0.095 and 0.2297 respectively for the years 2021, 2022 and 2023. Research result indicted that it is more effective and efficient to use effective reproduction number \((R)\) than basic reproduction number \((R_0)\) in mathematical modelling of Infectious diseases whenever study focuses on proportion of population. On basis of large absolute errors in fitting data to model, findings cast doubt on model formulation and/or observed data.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Pneumonia is infection of the lungs that is caused by fungi, bacteria, viruses, parasites or corrosive gas. It is characterized by inflammation of the alveoli in the lungs. Bacteria and viruses are the primary causes of pneumonia. Older adults, babies, and people with other diseases or impaired immune systems are more vulnerable to pneumonia [2].

Maternal HIV, male sex, maternal smoking, and malnutrition are associated with increased incidence of pneumonia [3]. In Brazil, 1.5 million new cases of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) occur in children every year, and the disease is ranked first on the list of hospitalization cases of these children in all regions of that country [4].

Childhood pneumonia is still a major public health issue for Kenya despite implementation of immunization in under five year’s age bracket. It was still leading cause of death among under-five years, claiming equivalently 16 % of child mortality in Kenya [5].

There are three popular techniques of carrying out numerical differentiation, these are: method based on interpolation, method based on undetermined coefficients and method based on finite difference operator. Lagrange polynomial \(P_n(x)\) is one of techniques of method based on interpolations. Data with uniform or non-uniform mesh points, assumed to be \(h(x)\) is first fitted in in polynomials of the form \(P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} L_k(x)f_k\) then \(P_n(x) \equiv h(x)\) \([6,7]\). In the above, \(P_n(x)\) denotes an \(n\)-degree polynomial in \(x\).

Absolute mean and least square approximation are the most common method for approximating a linear and nonlinear function \((f)\) which may be known explicitly over given interval or be given in tabular form. The method is used to estimate parameters by minimizing the squared error obtained as difference between \((f)\) and the model \([6,8,9,6]\).

Monte Carlo method is based on generation of multiple trials to determine the expected value of a random variable which is uniformly distributed over the interval \([0,1]\). Beside a basic spreadsheet program, Monte Carlo simulations can also be run by a number of commercial packages [10,11]. This study considered normally distributed random numbers.

Mathematical modelling is a process of representing essential features of reality using Mathematical language [12]. In most sciences, validation of hypothesis is done using experiments unlike in Mathematical epidemiology where experiments may be impossible and probably be unethical. However, formulating and analyzing biologically relevant disease models still poses a major challenge[9,13].

Mathematical modelling of infectious disease is a continuous ongoing process which is deemed to follow seven stages which are summarized in Fig. 1 [13,9,12].

A model which undergoes all those stages up to analysis and forecasting leads to either a new generation of models or it is put in use. Generation of new models promises to keep mathematicians employed for considerable future time [13]. Though all the stages are vital, it should be emphasized that disease control models, which are to be used in control initiatives like Childhood pneumonia models, must have a realistic validation. This validation can only come from a comparison of model solutions and predictions with actual observed data [9].
A model by design should be as simple as possible but its simplicity should never compromise its initial objective of addressing a real-world problem. Though a simple model of childhood pneumonia that was proposed by [1] hypothesized a solution to perpetual problem of under five years pneumonia in Kenya and world at large. This developed model failed to: estimate parameters using real data, validate the model, forecast pneumonia dynamics, consider effective reproduction number and consider the rate of under five years children which exit that age bracket every year. This prompted this important question, “Is the model proposed by [1] fit for use? Or it should lead to a new generation of models? A model by design should be as simple as possible but its simplicity should never compromise its initial objective of addressing a real-world problem.”

The aim of this study was to: parameterize the model using Kenya data, validate or invalidate the model, carry out analysis, forecast or propose generation of new pneumonia models. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2: Rescaling the model and model analysis, Section 3: Parameterization and Numerical analysis, Section 4: Discussion, and Section 5: Conclusion.

\[
\frac{ds}{dt} = \pi - \beta \left( \frac{s + \eta t}{N} \right) S - (\mu + \theta)S + \gamma T + \tau I \\
\frac{dl}{dt} = \beta \left( \frac{s + \eta t}{N} \right) S - \Omega_1 I \\
\frac{dT}{dt} = \phi I - \Omega_2 T
\]

Where,
\[
\Omega_1 = \phi + \theta + \mu + \partial_1 + \tau; \quad \Omega_2 = \mu + \gamma + \theta + \partial_2; \\
N(t) = S(t) + I(t) + T(t); \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq 1.
\]

2. RESCALING THE MODEL AND MODEL ANALYSIS

2.1 Introduction

According to [1], the model was formulated as follows, \( P(t) \) was the total population of Kenya and \( N(t) \) was the total population of under five years in Kenya which was divided into three sub-classes: the susceptible class \( S(t) \), the infective class \( I(t) \) and class under treatment \( T(t) \). Other parameters were as follows: \( \gamma \) was recovery rate, the recruitment rate of the Susceptible class was \( \pi = \text{birth rate} \times N \), death due to disease occurred at a rate of \( \alpha \) in infectious class, \( \mu \) was the natural death rate, \( \beta \) was the infection rates, \( \partial_1 \) and \( \partial_2 \) were death induced rates due to disease in infected and treated classes respectively, \( \phi \) was the rate of treatment for children, \( \tau \) and \( \gamma \) were the rate of recovery from infectious class and treated classes and \( \mu \) is the exit rate from under five years age bracket. The force of infection per time was defined as \( \Lambda(t) = \beta \left( \frac{i + \eta t}{N} \right) \). The dynamics of childhood pneumonia was described using first-order systems of equations as follows

\[
\frac{ds}{dt} = b - \beta (i + \eta r)s - \mu s + \gamma r + \tau i \\
\frac{dl}{dt} = \beta (i + \eta r)s - \Omega_1 I \\
\frac{dT}{dt} = \phi I - \Omega_2 T
\]
\[
\frac{di}{dt} = \beta(i + \eta r)s - \Omega_1i
\]
(5).
\[
\frac{dr}{dt} = \Phi_i - \Omega_2r
\]
(6).

Since,

\[s = 1 - i - r\]

\[
\frac{di}{dt} = \beta(i + \eta r) - (\beta(i + \eta r)(i + r) + \Omega_1i)
\]
(7)
\[
\frac{dr}{dt} = \Phi_i - \Omega_2r
\]
(8)

2.3 Positivity and Boundness of the Solutions

2.3.1 Theorem 1.

The region \(R\) given by \(R = \{i(t), r(t)\in \mathbb{R}_{+}^2 | i(0) \geq 0, r(0) \geq 0, b = \mu\}\) is positively invariant and attracting with respect to the system of equations (7) - (8).

2.3.2 Proof

Let \((i, r)\) be any solution of the system with non-negative initial conditions.

Consider, \(\frac{di}{dt} = \beta(i + \eta r) - (\beta(i + \eta r)(i + r) + \Omega_1i)\). Clearly, \(\beta i \geq 0\), it follows that

\[
\frac{di}{dt} \geq -\{\beta(i + \eta r)(i + r) + \Omega_1i\}.
\]

On integration, \(i(t) \geq \frac{\varphi^t}{\varphi-\Omega_1} \geq 0\), thus \(\frac{di}{dt}\) stays positive.

Similarly, \(r(t) \geq r(0) e^{-\Omega_1t} \geq 0\). Therefore, system of equations (7) and (8) lie in the feasible region. The time derivative of our total population along its solution obtains: \(\frac{ds}{dt} + \frac{di}{dt} + \frac{dr}{dt} = 0 = b - \mu\). Therefore \(b = \mu\).

2.5 Existence of Endemic Equilibrium Point \((E^*)\)

2.5.1 Theorem 2

Endemic equilibrium point \((E^*)\) exist whenever \(R_0 > 1\).

2.4 The Basic Reproduction Number \((R_0)\) and Effective Reproduction Number \(R\)

At disease-free equilibrium point (DFE), that is \(E^0\) of the system (7) - (8), \(i^0 = r^0 = 0\).

This study used the Next-generation matrix method determines the basic reproduction number [14]. Let \(f\) be a matrix of new infections terms and \(v\) be the matrix of the remaining transfer of infections,

\[f = (\beta(i + \eta r) - \beta(i + \eta r)(i + r)), v = (\frac{\Omega_i}{\eta_i - \Omega_1r})\]

Matrices \(F\) and \(V\) are obtained by finding the Jacobian matrices of \(f\) and \(v\) and then evaluated at DFE point. The basic reproduction number is given by the spectral radius \(\zeta\) (the dominant eigenvalue) of the matrix \(FV^{-1}\) \(F^*, \) denoted by \(\zeta(FV^{-1})\) was obtain as \(R_0 = \beta \frac{1}{\eta_i - \Omega_1r}\). The effective reproductive number \(R = \frac{R_0}{\mu}\).

\[
\frac{di}{dt} = \beta(i + \eta r)s - \Omega_1i
\]
(5).
\[
\frac{dr}{dt} = \Phi_i - \Omega_2r
\]
(6).

Since,

\[s = 1 - i - r\]

\[
\frac{di}{dt} = \beta(i + \eta r) - (\beta(i + \eta r)(i + r) + \Omega_1i)
\]
(7)
\[
\frac{dr}{dt} = \Phi_i - \Omega_2r
\]
(8)
3.1 Parameterization

Let \( t = 0 \) and \( t = 7 \), then Equations (7) and (8) are expressed as

\[
\lambda^* = \frac{\Omega_1 \Omega_2 (\eta \Phi + \eta R_0 + (-1 + R_0) \Omega_2)}{(\Phi + \Omega_2)(\eta \Phi + \Omega_2)}
\]

or \( \lambda^* = \frac{\Omega_1 \Omega_2 (\eta \Phi + \eta R + (-1 + R) \Omega_2)}{(\Phi + \Omega_2)(\eta \Phi + \Omega_2)} \)

Endemic equilibrium exists whenever \( \lambda^* > 0 \), that is whenever \( R > 1 \) or \( R_0 > 1 \).

The condition necessary and sufficient for local and global stability were established [1].

3. MODEL PARAMETERIZATION, VALIDATION AND COMPARISON

3.1 Parameterization

The number of births for every 1000 people in Kenya from year 2010 to 2017 were: 35.09, 34.34, 33.61, 32.93, 32.32, 31.78, 31.31 and 30.88 [2]. Note that \( \pi = \text{birth rate (b)} \times \text{Total population(N)} \). The birth rate was obtained as mean of birth rate from 2010 to 2017 therefore \( b \equiv 0.0328 \) with standard error of 0.0015.

Under five years is a period from 0 to 59,999 months, which is about 59,999/12 years. The exit rate from that age bracket per year is estimated as \( \frac{12}{59,999} \) year\(^{-1} \). Pneumonia had accounted for 15%, 16% and 16% of under-five deaths for years 2017, 2016 and 2014, whose mean is 15.67% and standard error of 0.58% [5]. The under-five mortality rate per 1000 from year 2010 to 2017 was: 58.4, 56.3, 54.4, 52.3, 50.51, 48.7, 47.1 and 45.6, whose mean was 0.0517 and standard error of 0.0045 [5]. Therefore, Pneumonia accounted for 15.67% and other causes 84.33%. Death due to other cause is approximated as 84.33% of 0.0517 \( \equiv 0.0436 \). Therefore \( \mu \equiv 0.0436 \) The rate of pneumonia induced death for year 2012 and 2013 at inpatient classes was 0.0511 and 0.0526 respectively, mean 0.0519 and standard error of 0.0011 [15].

Total Treated \( r(t) = \frac{T_s(t) + T_e(t)}{N(t)} \)

Estimate 1 (E1): Pneumonia prevalence was estimated at 16.1% of total under five population in Ethiopia which neighbors Kenya [18]. Then \( S(t) \) was estimated as: 83.9% of \( N(t) \) and 16.1% of \( N(t) = I_p(t) + I_s(t) + T_s(t) + T_e(t). \)

Estimate 2 (E2): Data for outpatients was not categorized as under five years but was provided for all ages as bloc as 253,731; 552,718; 535,024; 576,703 for years 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013 respectively. The mean proportion of under five years’ inpatient to total outpatient cases for the same period 2010 to 2013 was obtained as 0.6343 with standard error as 0.0376. This proportion was used to estimate under five years for outpatient. After getting estimate for under five years’ outpatient, the mean proportion of inpatient to outpatient for period 2010 to 2013 was obtained as 0.0136 with standard error as 0.0100. The proportion for 2010 to 2013 was used to estimate under five years’ inpatient from 2014 to 2017 and also severely and non-severely infected under five years children, that is \( I_s(t) = 0.0136 \) \( I_p(t) \). Note that \( I_n(t) + I_s(t) = N(t) - T_s(t) - T_e(t) \)

Equations (7) and (8) are expressed as

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \ln \left( \frac{i(t)}{i(0)} \right) \right) = \beta \left( 1 + \frac{r(t)}{i(t)} - r(t) - \frac{r(t)^2}{i(t)} - i(t) - r(t) \right) - \left( \Phi + \frac{12}{59,999} \right) + 0.0436 + \partial_2
\]

(11)

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \ln \left( \frac{r(t)}{r(0)} \right) \right) = \Phi \frac{i(t)}{r(t)} - \left( 0.0436 + \eta + \frac{12}{59,999} + 0.0519 \right)
\]

(12)

Since \( \theta = \frac{12}{59,999} \text{year}^{-1} \); \( \mu = 0.0436; \partial_2 = 0.0519; \)

Let 2010 be \( t=0 \) and 2017 be \( t=7 \), then \( \ln \left( \frac{i(t)}{i(0)} \right) \) is obtained by fitting values in the table in 7th degree polynomial using MATLAB:
### Table 1. Available population data and estimations of unknown values $\{E_i\}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S(t) \times 10^6$</td>
<td>4.6145</td>
<td>3.3604</td>
<td>5.8360</td>
<td>5.9132</td>
<td>3.8406</td>
<td>4.7779</td>
<td>6.1263</td>
<td>7.8985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>${E_1}$ ${83.9% , N(t)}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{m}(t)$ ${E_2}$</td>
<td>714753</td>
<td>284022</td>
<td>762235</td>
<td>754460</td>
<td>111856</td>
<td>285934</td>
<td>545955</td>
<td>1045393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{o}(t)$ ${E_2}$</td>
<td>9721</td>
<td>3863</td>
<td>10367</td>
<td>10261</td>
<td>1522</td>
<td>3889</td>
<td>7425</td>
<td>14218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i(t)$</td>
<td>0.131722545</td>
<td>0.071876014</td>
<td>0.111069868</td>
<td>0.108501844</td>
<td>0.024768001</td>
<td>0.050893462</td>
<td>0.075785754</td>
<td>0.112554545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{n}(t)$</td>
<td>160940 ${E_2}$</td>
<td>350590 ${E_2}$</td>
<td>339370 ${E_2}$</td>
<td>36580 ${E_2}$</td>
<td>615254</td>
<td>618564</td>
<td>613871</td>
<td>449969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{e}(t)$ ${E_2}$</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>6425</td>
<td>8028</td>
<td>4279</td>
<td>8368 ${E_2}$</td>
<td>8413 ${E_2}$</td>
<td>8349 ${E_2}$</td>
<td>6120 ${E_2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r(t)$</td>
<td>0.02927746</td>
<td>0.08913565</td>
<td>0.04994221</td>
<td>0.05250837</td>
<td>0.13623340</td>
<td>0.11009834</td>
<td>0.08521344</td>
<td>0.04844692</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kenya Population $P(t) \times 10^6$ $[17]$

41.3502 | 42.4868 | 43.6466 | 44.8268 | 46.0243 | 47.2363 | 48.4616 | 49.6999
\[
\ln \frac{i(t)}{i(0)} = -0.0032t^7 + 0.075t^6 - 0.68t^5 + 3t^4 - 6.1t^3 + 5t^2 - 0.064t + 1.2 \times 10^{-12} \; ; \; \text{Norm of residuals} = 1.3694 \times 10^{-12}. \; \text{Therefore} \\
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \ln \frac{i(t)}{i(0)} \right) \approx -0.0224t^6 + 0.45t^5 - 3.4t^4 + 12t^3 - 18.3t^2 + 10t - 0.064
\]

Similarly,
\[
\ln \frac{r(t)}{r(0)} = 0.0069t^7 - 0.17t^6 + 1.6t^5 - 7.2t^4 + 17t^3 - 18t^2 + 6.5t - 2.7 \\
\times 10^{-12}; \; \text{norm of residuals} = 3.4628 \times 10^{-12} \\
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \ln \frac{r(t)}{r(0)} \right) \approx 0.0483t^6 - 1.02t^5 + 8t^4 - 28.8t^3 + 51t^2 - 36t + 6.5
\]

Fig. 2. The data was fitted using Excel software least square solver:

a) Mean least square error of 1326.284 and standard deviation of least square error of 1873.4.

b) Mean absolute error of 27.7923208 and standard deviation of absolute error of 25.1594.

Parameters were estimated as \( \beta = 29; \eta = 0.455; \phi = 0.9; \theta_1 = 0.0004; \tau = 0.006 \)

Fig. 3. The data was fitted using Excel software solver:

a) Mean least square error of 3726.074 and standard deviation of least square error of 5546.334415

b) Mean absolute error of 43.19271 and standard deviation of absolute error of 46.11121.

Parameters were estimated as \( \phi = 0.9; \gamma = 0.00001 \)
3.2 Simulations

Simulations were carried out using inbuilt MATLAB ordinary differential equation solver. Average $\frac{S(t)}{P(t)} = 0.116759$ with standard error as $\pm 0.029109$ using population data in Table 1.
The basic reproduction number ($R_0$) is estimated as 61 and effective Reproduction number ($R$) as $61 \times \frac{S(t)}{P(t)}$. Equations 7 and 8 can be expressed as discrete ordinary differential equations by incorporating $R_0$ and $R$ as below

$$i(t + 1) = R_0 i(t) - \Omega_1 i(t)$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$r(t + 1) = \phi_i(t) - \Omega_2 r(t)$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$i(t + 1) = R_0 \frac{S(t)}{P(t)} i(t) - \Omega_1 i(t)$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

The above discrete equations were fitted to the observed data using Excel least square Solver to obtain Figs. 8 and 9.
3.3 Monte Carlo Simulation using Normally Distributed Random Numbers

All systems are prone to variability due to either assignable causes or chance causes, or both. So, for this model to be tractable mathematically, we shall assume variability in state variables of the rescaled model, that is i(t) and r(t). Suppose we investigate how random effects and round off errors would affect the basic reproduction number and effective reproduction number using Monte Carlo simulations. The study assumed normally distributed random numbers and the iterations were carried out using Excel software to obtain Figs.10 and 11.

3.4 Bifurcation Analysis Using Numerical Method

Numerical analysis of the system of equations 7 and 8 using the estimated parameters in the neighborhood of $R_0 = 1$ confirms that achieving the condition $R_0 < 1$ is sufficient to eradicate pneumonia and that the system does not exhibit backward bifurcation but a forward bifurcation [13].

From Figs. 13 and 14 obtained using Mathematica, $i(t) < 0$ and $\lambda(t) < 0$ are not biologically meaningful and therefore should be construed to mean $i(t) = 0$ and $\lambda(t) = 0$ since $i(t) \geq 0$ and $\lambda(t) \geq 0$.

3.5 Normalized Sensitivity Analysis of Basic Reproduction Number

Sensitivity analysis is ordinarily used to determine the vigor of model forecasts to parameter values, since there are usually errors in data collection methods and supposed parameter values. It is used to ascertain parameters that have a high effect on $R_0$, which is subject to differentiability on a parameter $h$, is defined by $R^R_h = \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial h} \times \frac{h}{R_0}$ [13].

Table 2. The following statistics were obtained. Iterations 10000, Max $R_0 = 64.75307$, Min $R_0 = 55.87556$, Average $R_0 = 60.224$, Standard error in $R_0 = 1.438251$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Phi</th>
<th>delta2</th>
<th>delta1</th>
<th>Gamma</th>
<th>Tau</th>
<th>theta</th>
<th>R0</th>
<th>neta</th>
<th>mu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.0519</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.200003</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>0.043082</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
<td>0.000005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The following statistics were obtained. Iterations 10000, Max $R = 90.04521$, Min $R = 65.04974$, Average $R = 76.94214$, Standard error in $R = 3.839519$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Phi</th>
<th>delta2</th>
<th>delta1</th>
<th>Gamma</th>
<th>Tau</th>
<th>theta</th>
<th>neta</th>
<th>mu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.0519</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.200003</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>0.043082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
<td>0.000005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 11. Fitting data with different values of $R$

Numerical results, which were obtained using the estimated parameters confirms that $E^*$ exist whenever $R_0 > 1$. $E^*$ was as $\{42.9, 0.5\}$ and $\{24.8, 75.8\}$ at $R_0 = 42$ and $R = 22$ respectively.
Fig. 12. Bifurcation analysis using $i(t)$

Fig. 13. Bifurcation analysis using $\lambda(t)$

Table 4. Normalized Sensitivity Analysis of Basic Reproduction Number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Normalized sensitivity index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>0.581267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi$</td>
<td>-0.201692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Theta$</td>
<td>-0.568087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>-0.122368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_1$</td>
<td>-0.000347982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$</td>
<td>-0.00521973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma$</td>
<td>-1.97043E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_2$</td>
<td>-0.102265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 14. Normalized sensitivity analysis
4. DISCUSSION

The data obtained in Table 2 was not sufficient enough no wonder some estimation were inevitable. A case in point is where this had to use prevalence rate on study carried in neighboring country Ethiopia as a result of lack of such documented information in Kenya. In Fig. 2, the proportional infective children \( i(t) \) fitted to observed data with mean absolute error of 27.7923208 and standard deviation of absolute error of 25.1594. This cast doubt in the developed model and/or observed data of infective. Likewise, in Fig. 3, the proportional infective children \( i(t) \) abnormally fitted to observed data with mean absolute error of 43.19271 and standard deviation of absolute error of 46.11121. This cast doubt in the developed model and/or observed data of treated children.

MATLAB simulations of dynamics of childhood pneumonia using estimated \( R_n \) and \( R \) in Figs. 4 to Fig. 7 indicates that \( i(t) \) and \( r(t) \) attained Endemic equilibrium in 2014 and 2018 for \( R_n \) and \( R \) respectively. This concurs with pneumonia induced death in Kenya which seems to have stabilized from 15% to 17% [3,5].

Fitting of discrete ordinary differential equation to observed data using \( R \) instead of \( R_n \) had great promise in reducing absolute error by 2.851533. Despite good encouragement in use of \( R \), Figs. 8 and 9 confirms observation made in Figs. 2 and 3. Figs. 10 and 11 amplifies the impact of uncertainties in parameter to important thresholds in mathematical epidemiology like basic reproduction number. The results of iterations indicate that policy makers should consider 55.87556 ≤ \( R \) ≤ 90.04521 when making decision. However, Fig. 12 suggests that we should consider minimum \( R \) in fitting discrete ordinary differential equations.

Figs. 13 and 14 show that the system exhibited no backward bifurcation which is a good gesture to pneumonia interventionist. This means that any effort which can reduce reproduction number to less or equal to one is sufficient to eradicate the menace. Reducing infection rates through contacts hold promise in eradicating pneumonia.

5. CONCLUSION

It is more effective and efficient to use effective reproduction number \( (R) \) of 7 instead of basic reproduction number \( (R_n) \) in mathematical modelling of Infectious diseases focusing on proportion of population because it's close to probabilistic basic reproduction number of 5.6 obtained by [19]. The findings cast doubt on model formulation and/or observed data. This study should give rise to new generation on pneumonia model and focus on collection of reliable and sufficient data.
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